RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Comparative Analysis of Ethylene Response Factors (ERF1, ERF2) in Three Zoysiagrasses

#### Chetan Kaur<sup>1</sup>, Young-Sun Kim<sup>2,3</sup>, and Geung-Joo Lee<sup>1,4\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Horticulture, Chungnam National University, Daejeon 34134, Korea <sup>2</sup>Division of Life and Environmental Science, Daegu University, Gyeongsan 38453, Korea <sup>3</sup>Institute of Natural Sciences, Daegu University, Gyeongsan 38453, Korea <sup>4</sup>Department of Smart Agriculture Systems, Chungnam National University, Daejeon 34134, Korea

# Abstract

The APETALA2/ethylene response factor (AP2/ERF) gene family is known to play a key role in the regulating expression of several genes in response to biotic and abiotic stress, conferring an evolutionary advantage to plants. In zoysiagrass, the genetic information of the ERF transcription factors (TF) is limited, leading to gaps in our understanding of the differential response of the zoysiagrasses to external stimuli. In this study, ERF1/2 genes in *Zoysia matrella* and *Z. pacifica* were predicted. We present a comparative study of the ERF1/2 TF among the three Zoysia species, with an overview of their putative gene structures, phylogeny, conserved motifs, and putative protein structure. Our study revealed one ERF1 and ERF2 TF in *Z. pacifica*, but *Z. matrella* had two copies of each ERF1 and ERF2 TF genes. All the ERF1 genes had no introns, while the ERF2 genes exhibited one intron. One AP2/ERF domain was predicted in all the ERF TF genes. Within the AP2 conserved domain of the four *Zoysia* ERF1 genes, a single amino acid substitution (Ala64  $\rightarrow$  Thr64), as a result of a missense mutation (GCC to ACC) was seen to affect the active ligand- binding site in the GCC-box-domain. The analysis of the upstream regulatory region of the ERF1/2 revealed transcriptional binding motifs linked to regulate the response of ERF genes to abiotic and biotic stresses.

**Keywords:** Abiotic stress response, Ethylene response factor (ERF1, ERF2), Missense mutation, Protein structure prediction, *Zoysiagrass* 

# Introduction

Turfgrasses have been an integral part in the landscaping industry, playing an important part in the urban and suburban environment. The turfgrass industry has a huge impact, both environmentally and economically (Haydu et al., 2006). Among the warm-season turfgrasses, the members of the genera Zoysia are one of the most resilient turfgrasses in terms of their abiotic stress tolerance (Huang et al., 2014). Zoysiagrasses are preferred as turfgrasses because they are salt tolerant, heat and cold tolerant and are aesthetically pleasing (Feng et al., 2019; Patton, 2010). High density genetic linkage mapping has been done in the three zoysiagrasses; *Z. japonica, Z. matrella* and *Z. pacifica*, revealing high introgression and hybridization rates (Tanaka et al., 2016). The acquisition of this huge sequencing resource has enabled



### OPEN ACCESS

\***Corresponding Author:** Tel) +82-42-821-5734 Fax) 042-823-1382 E-mail) gjlee@cnu.ac.kr

Received: June 17, 2022

Revised: June 29, 2022

Accepted: June 29, 2022

© 2022 The Korean Society of Weed Science and The Turfgrass Society of Korea



This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of

the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/bync/4.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. researchers to find the molecular mechanisms involved in abiotic and biotic stress tolerance, and to further utilize this information to create better cultivars.

Climate change has initiated a new era of research to identify plants that can thrive in harsh abiotic stress conditions. Unfavorable environmental conditions cause the plants to employ intricate molecular networks involving transcription factors (TFs) that further regulate specific gene expressions, helping the plant cope with stress. One such family of TFs is the APETALA2/ethylene response factor (AP2/ERF) family, characterized by a AP2 DNA binding domain. Their functions range from controlling the developmental and physiological aspects of plant growth to providing defense response in case of abiotic or biotic stress conditions (Shoji and Yuan, 2021). The family can further be divided into AP2, ERF, related to ABI3/VP (RAV), based on the number of AP2 domains and the presence of other DNA binding domains. Members of the subfamily ERF have a single AP2 domain, that specifically binds to GCC-boxes, and are documented to be involved in jasmonate and ethylene signaling and abiotic stress response (Feng et al., 2020).

In Arabidopsis, ERF1 has been reported to be highly induced by salt and drought stress (Cheng et al., 2013). In Z. japonica, ERF genes (ZjERF1, ZjERF2) have been isolated and are reported to be induced by ethylene, methyl jasmonate and high salinity conditions (Teng et al., 2019). Studies have shown that Z. matrella and Z. pacifica can regulate tissue salt levels more efficiently than Z. japonica (Marcum et al., 1998; Yamamoto et al., 2016). One of the key features in the salt stress tolerance in zoysiagrasses is the presence of salt glands on the leaf surface which help in the secretion of Na<sup>+</sup> (Marcum et al., 1998). So far, no information regarding the ERF genes in Z. matrella and Z. pacifica has been published to deduce the underlying genetic mechanism of abiotic and biotic stress. The objective of this study is to (i) predict ERF genes in Z. matrella and Z. pacifica, (ii) Structural and functional characterization of the predicted ERF genes using phylogenetic analysis and protein structure prediction (iii) to identify the probable effects of the variations in the ERF gene among the three zoysiagrasses on the abiotic stress tolerance properties of the zoysiagrasses.

## Materials and methods

### Sequence data retrieval and identification of ERF genes in zoysiagrass

Zoysia japonica (Zj), Zoysia matrella (Zm), and Zoysia pacifica (Zp) genome sequences were downloaded from 'Zoysia Genome Database' (http://zoysia.kazusa.or.jp/). The full coding sequence and protein sequence of *Z. japonica* ERF1 and ERF2 was obtained from NCBI (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). This sequence was used as a query for a BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool) search against *Z. pacifica* and *Z. matrella* genome with the following parameters: expected values  $\leq$ 1E-5 and more than 80 percent coverage. SNPs and in/dels were identified using SNP-sites (Page et al., 2016). All the BLAST hits were retrieved, and a conserved domain search was performed using NCBI conserved domain search (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/wrpsb.cgi). Multiple alignments of the putative ERF genes were done using ClustalW (https://www.genome.jp/tools-bin/clustalw) (Thompson et al., 1994) with the default settings. Phylogenetic analysis of the ERF transcription factor genes was done using the MEGA X (https://www.megasoftware.net/) maximum likelihood method (Kumar et al., 2018).

### Identification of ERF upstream regulatory region

The upstream regulatory regions of the *Zoysia ERF* genes were identified by extracting a 1.7 kb sequence upstream of the transcription start site. The putative promoter and TATA box sites were predicted using Transcription Start Sites Plant (TSSP) (Shahmuradov et al., 2017). Further, PlantRegMap (Tian et al., 2020) was used to identify transcription factor binding sites near the

predicted ERF1/2 upstream regulatory regions. Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis was done to identify TF binding sites significantly related to abiotic and biotic stress response signaling (Alexa and Rahnenführer, 2009).

### Protein structure prediction and evolutionary conservation analysis

The amino acid sequence of the predicted *Zoysia* ERF genes was used to predict the putative tertiary structure of the proteins. ProtParam tool from Expasy was used to compute the physical and chemical parameters if the predicted proteins (Gasteiger et al., 2005). Protein structure prediction was done using Protein Homology/analogY Recognition Engine 2 (PHYRE<sup>2</sup>) (Kelley et al., 2015) and Chimera 1.15 was used for protein structure visualization. The ConSurf server (http://consurf.tau.ac.il/) estimated the conservation pattern of each amino acid in the identified ERF to measure the degree of conservation at each aligned site. The ConSurf scores vary from 1 to 9, with 1 being for fast-evolving (variable) sites. Active ligand binding sites of the proteins were detected using fPocket2 (http://fpocket.sourceforge.net/).

# **Results and Discussion**

### Identification of Zoysia ERF transcription factors

The identification of the ERF transcription factors in Z. *matrella* and Z. *pacifica* was done using Z. *japonica* ERF1 and ERF2 full length coding sequences that have been already deposited to the GenBank; accessions MH294481.1 and MH479420.1 respectively. This sequence was used a query for a BLAST search against the Z. *matrella* and Z. *pacifica* genomes. In Z. *matrella*, two sequence hits were obtained for both ERF1 and ERF2 TFs, which were denoted as ZmERF1a/b and ZmERF2a/b respectively (Table 1). The ERF1 genes had no exons, whereas ERF2 genes in all the zoysiagrasses displayed two exons (Fig. 1). Many studies have reported that the number of exons in the ERF gene vary from one to three or more (Ma et al., 2015). The open reading frame in ZmERF1a was 630 bp long encoding 209 amino acids, identical to reports in the ZjERF1 (Teng et al., 2019) . However, ZmERF1b and ZpERF1 had slightly shorter open reading frame of 618bp encoding 205 amino acids. Analysis of SNPs in the predicted ERF1 TFs revealed that both ZmERF1a and ZpERF1 had 6 SNPs and a 12bp deletion with respect to ZjERF1 (Table 2A). Additionally, ZmERF1b had a single nucleotide polymorphism at position 337 (Table 2A). The SNP analysis in the ERF2 genes with respect to the ZjERF1, in the three zoysiagrasses revealed 1, 3 and 5 SNPs in ZmERF2a, ZMERf2b and ZpERF2 respectively (Table 2B). Conserved domain analysis showed that all the ERF genes identified in the zoysiagrasses consisted of one AP2 domain, which is indicative of a typical ERF family transcription factor (Phukan et al., 2017).

Gene	Code	Gene ID	Strand	Exons	CDS length (bp)	Amino acids
ERF1	ZjERF1	Zjn_sc00007.1.g02920.1.sm.mk	+	1	630	209
	ZmERF1a	Zmw_sc03649.1.g00030.1.sm.mk	+	1	630	209
	ZmERF1b	Zmw_sc03126.1.g00090.1.sm.mk	-	1	618	205
	ZpERF1	Zpz_sc01568.1.g00050.1.sm.mk	-	1	618	205
ERF2	ZjERF2	Zjn_sc00066.1.g02740.1.am.mk	+	2	729	242
	ZmERF2a	Zmw_sc03147.1.g00050.1.am.mk	-	2	729	242
	ZmERF2b	Zmw_sc05027.1.g00040.1.am.mk	-	2	729	242
	ZpERF2	Zpz_sc00069.1.g00210.1.am.mk	+	2	729	242

Table 1. Zoysia Livi 1 and Livi 2 predicted genes and their sequence informatio
---

ERF: Ethylene responding factor; CDS: Coding sequence; Zj: Z. japonica; Zm: Z. matrella; Zp: Z. pacifica.

Item	Position	Ref	Alt	ZmERF1a	ZmERF1b	ZpERF1
Ay	132	G	А	0	1	1
	135	G	А	0	1	1
	190	G	А	0	1	1
	282	G	С	0	1	1
	288	Т	С	0	1	1
	300	Т	G	0	1	1
	337	G	С	0	1	0
		Total		0	7	6
B <sup>z</sup>	59	G	А	0	1	1
	294	С	G	0	0	1
	447	G	А	0	1	1
	477	С	Т	0	0	1
	671	С	Т	1	1	1
		Total		1	3	5

Table 2. List of SNPs identified with respect to the Zoysia japonica ERF1/2.

SNP: Single nucleotide polymorphism; Ref: Reference allele; Alt: Alternate allele; Zm: *Z. matrella*; Zp: *Z. pacifica*; *ERF*: Ethylene Response Factor.

<sup>y</sup> SNPs in the *ERF1* genes of *Z. matrella* and *Z. pacifica*.

<sup>z</sup> SNPs in the *ERF2* genes of *Z. matrella* and *Z. pacifica*.



**Fig. 1.** Phylogenetic relationship of *ERF* transcription factor genes among the three zoysiagrasses using maximum likelihood method with 500 bootstrap replications. The blue boxes represent AP2 domain, black lines represent exons and dotted lines represent introns. ERF: Ethylene Response Factor; AP2: APETALA2; Zj: *Zoysia japonica*; Zm: *Zoysia matrella*; Zp: *Zoysia pacifica*.

### Analysis of the upstream regulatory region of the ERF Transcription factor genes

Sequences 1.7 kb upstream of the transcription start site were used to identify putative promoter regions. All ERF1 and ERF2 genes constituted at least one promoter accompanied by a TATA box. The ERF1 predicted promoter region was seen to be highly conserved, whereas the ERF2 promoter region had high variability. In the case of ERF1 in all the three zoysiagrasses constituted one predicted promoter and TATA box site at the same position, with the exception of ZjERF1, having an additional predicted promoter regions in the case of ERF2 gene.

Using PlantTFDB (http://plantregmap.gao-lab.org/binding\_site\_prediction\_result.php), top 50 TF binding sites in the 1.7 kb upstream regulatory region (URR) of the transcription start site (TSS) were identified. The results were filtered by removing the duplicates, and only values above q value <0.05 were selected to eliminate false positives. There were more TF binding sites in the URR of the ERF2 gene than in ERF1. Common TF binding sites in both the ERF genes among the three zoysiagrasses included Dof, MIKC\_MADS, Trihelix.

GO enrichment analysis was conducted to identify the TF binding sites related to the documented ERF TFs functions. The ERF1 TF binding sites in the URR revealed many motifs, that were related to responses to plant development and flower regulation, responses to plant hormones and biotic and abiotic stresses. The motif Zjn\_sc00045.1.g02180.1.sm.mk in ZjERF1, belonging to Dof family, engages in a wide range of responses like response to drought salinity plant hormones, bacteria, and fungi. The motifs Zjn\_sc00007.1.g03660.1.sm.mk and Zmw\_sc01431.1.g00150.1 belonging to ERF family were related to response to cold and heat stress, and response to salicylic acid and jasmonic acid. The TF binding sites in *Z. pacifica* ERF1 were related to floral organ identity, flower development fruit development. Motifs related to response to abiotic and biotic stresses were absent in the ZpERF1 URR (Table S1).

Transcription factor binding sites in the ERF2 URR of the four genes were related to the WRKY, MIKC\_MADS, HD-ZIP and MYB\_related TF families (Table S2). The motifs Zjn\_sc00024.1.g02600.1.sm.mkhc and Zjn\_sc00075.1.g00940.1.am.mkhc in the ZjERF2 URR belong to the WRKY family and are involved jasmonic acid mediated pathway, response to salicylic acid and defense response to fungal and bacterial attack. The ZmERF2a,b URRs also consisted of motifs belonging to the WRKY TF family, which respond to salicylic acid, chitin, and fungal and bacterial attack. The motifs belonging to HD-ZIP family in ZmERF2a,b (Zmw\_sc00963.1.g00120.1, Zmw\_sc00963.1.g00120.1), may have a role in salt stress or osmotic stress response. In *Z. pacifica* ERF2, motifs belonging to the WRKY family (Zpz\_sc00228.1.g00240.1.sm.mk, Zpz\_sc02744.1.g00050.1.sm.mkhc, Zpz\_sc00990.1.g00040.1.sm.mkhc) may respond to jasmonic acid stimulus, and fungal and bacterial attacks. The motif Zpz\_sc00747.1.g00070.1.sm.mkk, belonging to WRKY family may be involved in the negative regulation of gibberellic acid pathway.

Most of the TF binding sites had a redundant function, specifically in the two copies of the *Z. matrella* ERF1 and ERF2 transcription factors. Such redundant TF clusters may indicate gene regulatory hotspots (Dergilev et al., 2022).

#### Phylogenetic relation of the ERF gene in the three Zoysiagrasses

To determine the evolutionary relationship among the ERF genes, an unrooted phylogenetic tree based on the maximum likelihood method was constructed using MEGA X based on the multiple sequence alignment of the 8 ERF transcription factor genes. The phylogenetic tree showed that ERF1 and ERF2 were distinctly separated into two major groups, which were further divided into two subgroups. ZmERF1a was found to be closely related to ZjERF1 (subgroup A), whereas ZmERF1b and ZpERF1 were grouped together (subgroup B) (Fig. 1). In the ERF2 group, further two subgroups were formed with ZjERF2 and ZmERF2a forming subgroup C and ZmERF2b and ZpERF2 grouped together in subgroup D (Fig. 1).

### Evolutionary-based conservation analysis of the predicted ERF1/2 proteins

The ERF1/2 amino acid sequences obtained from the three zoysiagrasses were aligned using ClustalW. The amino acids in the AP2 binding domain of the ERF1 protein were marked as highly conserved by ConSurf. Within the AP2 conserved domain, one amino acid substitution was observed at position 64, wherein the Alanine in ZjERF1 and ZmERF1 is substituted with Threonine in ZmERF1b and ZpERF1 (Fig. 2A). This missense mutation is a result of a single base substitution in the codon: GCC (producing alanine) to ACC (producing threonine). Both the amino acids were seen to be categorized as evolutionary conserved in the ConSurf analysis, implying that the amino acid changes at the position 64 may affect the structure or function of the protein drastically. Outside the AP2 conserved domain, another amino acid substitution was seen at position 113, where Aspartic acid was substituted by Histidine in ZmERF1b. The amino acids at the position 113 were categorized as highly variable by ConSurf analysis, leading to a conclusion that they may have little to no effect the properties of the ERF1 protein.

А	
ZjERF1 ZmERF1a ZmERF1b ZpERF1	M D G G R E S KKYKG V RL R K W G K W V S E I RL P N S R E RI WL G S Y D A P E KA A R A F D A A F V F L R G R D A A G M D G G R E S KKYKG V RL R K W G K W V S E I RL P N S R E RI WL G S Y D A P E KA A R A F D A A F V F L R G R D A A G M D G G R E S KKYKG V RL R K W G K W V S E I RL P N S R E RI WL G S Y D A P E KA A R A F D A A F V F L R G R D A A G M D G G R E S KKYKG V RL R K W G K W V S E I RL P N S R E RI WL G S Y D A P E KA A R A F D A A F V F L R G R D A A G
ZjERF1 ZmERF1a ZmERF1b ZpERF1	A D L N F P D S P P P C R AS C S I D P Q E V Q A A A L S H A N R A A V S T G E A A A T F M D V D D S P L E L L S R E A L T H A D L N F P D S P P P C R AS C S I D P Q E V Q A A A L S H A N R A A V S T G E A A A T F M D V D D S P L E L L S R E A L T H T D L N F P D S P P P C R AS C S I D P Q E V Q A A A L S H A N R A A V S T G E A A A T F M D V D H S P L E L L S R E A L T H T D L N F P D S P P P C R AS C S I D P Q E V Q A A A L S H A N R A A V S T G E A A A T F M D V D H S P L E L L S R E A L T H T D L N F P D S P P P C R AS C S I D P Q E V Q A A A L S H A N R A A V S T G E A A A T F M D V D D S P L E L L S R E A L T H
ZjERF1 ZmERF1a ZmERF1b ZpERF1	GTGFLDAGSGIEVVAPVRADGSIDWRPVMAHPPPLFSPVGWGSNAYDFLQVPPAAAAAAAADE GTGFLDAGSGIEVVAPVRADGSIDWRPVMAHPPPLFSPVGWGSNAYDFLQVPPAAAAAAAADE GTGFLDAGSGIEVVAPVRADGSIDWRPVMAHPPPLFSPVGWGSNAYDFLQVPPAAAADE GTGFLDAGSGIEVVAPVRADGSIDWRPVMAHPPPLFSPVGWGSNAYDFLQVPPAAAADE
ZjERF1 ZmERF1a ZmERF1b ZpERF1	D M E E SI H G A ST SL W SF D M RY D M E E SI H G A ST SL W SF D M RY D M E E SI H G A ST SL W SF D M RY D M E E SI H G A ST SL W SF D M RY
В	
B ZjERF2 ZmERF2a ZmERF2b ZpERF2	MRRRPTRHSRVESPVNAPRAPAETSISGMSSSPEMEGGRESKKYKGVRLRKWGKWVSEIRLPNSRERIWLG MRRRPTRHSRVESPVNAPRAPAETSISGMSSSPEMEGGRESKKYKGVRLRKWGKWVSEIRLPNSRERIWLG MRRRPTRHSRVESPVNAPHAPAETSISGMSSSPEMEGGRESKKYKGVRLRKWGKWVSEIRLPNSRERIWLG MRRRPTRHSRVESPVNAPHAPAETSISGMSSSPEMEGGRESKKYKGVRLRKWGKWVSEIRLPNSRERIWLG AP2/ERF domain
B ZjERF2 ZmERF2a ZmERF2b ZpERF2 ZmERF2a ZmERF2a ZmERF2b ZpERF2	MRRRRPTRHSRVESPVNAPRAPAETSISGMSSSPEMEGGRESKKYKGVRLRKWGKWVSEIRLPNSRERIWLG MRRRPTRHSRVESPVNAPRAPAETSISGMSSSPEMEGGRESKKYKGVRLRKWGKWVSEIRLPNSRERIWLG MRRRPTRHSRVESPVNAPHAPAETSISGMSSSPEMEGGRESKKYKGVRLRKWGKWVSEIRLPNSRERIWLG MRRRPTRHSRVESPVNAPHAPAETSISGMSSSPEMEGGRESKKYKGVRLRKWGKWVSEIRLPNSRERIWLG MRRRPTRHSRVESPVNAPHAPAETSISGMSSSPEMEGGRESKKYKGVRLRKWGKWVSEIRLPNSRERIWLG SYDAPEKAARAFDAAFVCLRGSGAAGADLNFPDSPPCRASCSIDPQEVQAAALSHANRAAVTAREAAAALM SYDAPEKAARAFDAAFVCLRGSGAAGADLNFPDSPPPCRASCSIDPQEVQAAALSHANRAAVTAREAAAALM SYDAPEKAARAFDAAFVCLRGSGAAGADLNFPDSPPPCRASCSIDPQEVQAAALSHANRAAVTAREAAAALM
B Zjerf2 Zmerf2a Zperf2 Zjerf2 Zmerf2a Zmerf2b Zperf2 Zjerf2 Zmerf2a Zmerf2a Zmerf2a Zmerf2b Zperf2	MRRRRPTRHSRVESPVNAPRAPAETSISGMSSSPEMEGGRESKKYKGVRLRKWGKWVSEIRLPNSRERIWLG MRRRPTRHSRVESPVNAPRAPAETSISGMSSSPEMEGGRESKKYKGVRLRKWGKWVSEIRLPNSRERIWLG MRRRPTRHSRVESPVNAPHAPAETSISGMSSSPEMEGGRESKKYKGVRLRKWGKWVSEIRLPNSRERIWLG MRRRPTRHSRVESPVNAPHAPAETSISGMSSSPEMEGGRESKKYKGVRLRKWGKWVSEIRLPNSRERIWLG MRRRPTRHSRVESPVNAPHAPAETSISGMSSSPEMEGGRESKKYKGVRLRKWGKWVSEIRLPNSRERIWLG SYDAPEKAARAFDAAFVCLRGSGAAGADLNFPDSPPCRASCSIDPQEVQAAALSHANRAAVTAREAAAALM SYDAPEKAARAFDAAFVCLRGSGAAGADLNFPDSPPPCRASCSIDPQEVQAAALSHANRAAVTAREAAAALM SYDAPEKAARAFDAAFVCLRGSGAAGADLNFPDSPPPCRASCSIDPQEVQAAALSHANRAAVTAREAAAALM SYDAPEKAARAFDAAFVCLRGSGAAGADLNFPDSPPPCRASCSIDPQEVQAAALSHANRAAVTAREAAAALM SYDAPEKAARAFDAAFVCLRGSGAAGADLNFPDSPPPCRASCSIDPQEVQAAALSHANRAAVTAREAAAALM SYDAPEKAARAFDAAFVCLRGSGAAGADLNFPDSPPPCRASCSIDPQEVQAAALSHANRAAVTAREAAAALM DVDDSPPAATLSREALAHGAGFLDAGRGIEVVAPVRADGSIDWRPVMAHPPPLYSPIGWGSNAYDFLQMPPA DVDDSPPAATLSREALAHGAGFLDAGRGIEVVAPVRADGSIDWRPVMAHPPPLYSPIGWGSNAYDFLQMPPA

**Fig. 2.** Conserved domain analysis of the *Zoysia*. (A) ERF1 putative protein sequences and (B) ERF2 putative protein sequences. ERF proteins are characterized by one AP2/ERF domain (highlighted in green). The single amino acid polymorphic sites and in/del sites are in red. ERF: Ethylene Response Factor; AP2: APETALA2; Z: *Zoysia japonica*; Zm: *Zoysia matrella*; Zp: *Zoysia pacifica*.

The ERF1 and ERF2 protein structures were highly disordered (60-62%) in all the zoysiagrasses. Intrinsically disordered proteins (IDPs) make up almost 30-50% of the eukaryotic proteins. These proteins are biologically active, but are unable to adopt persistent tertiary structures, leading to dynamic conformational ensembles (Salladini et al., 2020). The AP2/ERF family domain binding sites are flanked with intrinsically disordered residues, lacking secondary structures. ZjERF1, ZmERF1a and ZpERF1 protein constituted of 24% of the structure forming alpha helices and the 9% forming beta sheets (Fig. 3). ZmERF1b had the lowest structural disorder (57%) among the other zoysia ERF1 proteins, with a structure consisting of 24% alpha helices and 9% beta sheets (Fig. 3). ZjERF2 and ZmERF2a protein structures constituted 30% alpha helices and 7% beta sheets, whereas ZmERF2b and ZpERF2 protein structures constituted 28% alpha helices and 5% beta sheets (Fig. 4).

Gene	Predicted Protein structure	Properties	Active ligand binding sites
ZjERF1		<ul> <li>Number of amino acids : 209</li> <li>Molecular weight : 22478.12</li> <li>Theoretical pH: 5.00</li> <li>Structure disorder: 62%</li> <li>Alpha helices : 24%</li> <li>Beta sheets : 9%</li> </ul>	Lippet pocket
ZmERF1 a		<ul> <li>Number of amino acids : 209</li> <li>Molecular weight : 22478.12</li> <li>Theoretical pH: 5.00</li> <li>Structure disorder: 62%</li> <li>Alpha helices : 24%</li> <li>Beta sheets : 9%</li> </ul>	Luper pocket
ZmERF1b		<ul> <li>Number of amino acids : 205</li> <li>Molecular weight : 22245.88</li> <li>Theoretical pH: 5.22</li> <li>Structure disorder: 57%</li> <li>Alpha helices : 25%</li> <li>Beta sheets : 8%</li> </ul>	Leget podet
ZpERF1		<ul> <li>Number of amino acids : 205</li> <li>Molecular weight : 22223.83</li> <li>Theoretical pH: 5.00</li> <li>Structure disorder: 60%</li> <li>Alpha helices : 24%</li> <li>Beta sheets : 9%</li> </ul>	Light poset

**Fig. 3.** *Zoysia* ERF1 proteins in the physical, chemical, and structural analysis. For each ERF1 protein, the protein structure was predicted using PHYRE2 (image colored by rainbow N to C terminus). Largest active ligand binding sites (in red) were predicted using fPocket2. Green arrows denote an additional active binding site in ZjERF1 and ZmERF2a. ERF: Ethylene Response Factor; Zj: *Zoysia japonica*; Zm: *Zoysia matrella*; Zp: *Zoysia pacifica*.

Protein homology search of the ERF1 and ERF2 predicted sequences yielded a significant hit to the GCC-box binding domain, which is a core function of the ERF transcription factors (Zarei et al., 2011). Protein pocket detection can reveal the functional & ligand binding sites in a protein (Stank et al., 2016). The detection of active ligand binding sites in the GCC-box binding domain of the ERF proteins was done using fPocket2. The active pocket of ZjERF1 and ZmERF1a were identical whereas the pockets of ZmERF1b and ZpERF1 were identical to each other (Fig. 3). The difference among these two groups was seen as a result of an amino acid substitution at position 64 (Alanine  $\rightarrow$  Threonine) in the AP2/ERF domain (Fig. 2). The active binding site in the GCC-box-domain of all the four ERF2 TFs was identical, owing to the fact that there were no amino acid substitutions seen in the conserved AP2/ERF domain (Fig. 4).



**Fig. 4.** *Zoysia* ERF2 proteins physical, chemical, and structural analysis. For each ERF2 protein, the protein structure was predicted using PHYRE2 (image colored by rainbow N to C terminus). Largest active ligand binding sites (in red) were predicted using fPocket2. ERF: Ethylene Response Factor; Zj: *Zoysia japonica*; Zm: *Zoysia matrella*; Zp: *Zoysia pacifica*.

### Conclusion

In the wake of climate change, zoysiagrasses have recently come under the spotlight to explore their genomes and identify genes that confer better survival in adverse environmental conditions. Members of the zoysiagrasses like *Z. japonica* have been widely studied for its cold and drought tolerance (Cohen et al., 2019; Kaur et al., 2022). Studies on the salt tolerance mechanism in the zoysiagrasses revealed that *Z. matrella* and *Z. pacifica* have a higher salt tolerance capability than *Z. japonica* (Loch et al., 2005; Marcum et al., 1998; Sugiura and Takahashi, 2021). The varying degrees of salt tolerance among the zoysiagrasses was attributed to leaching (Loch et al., 2005), but the key genetic players behind the salt tolerance capability have been poorly studied. *Z. japonica* , *Z. matrella* and *Z. pacifica* show poor drought tolerance capabilities when compared to Bermudagrass (Loch et al., 2017). ERF gene family is an excellent candidate to study the differential regulation of genetic mechanisms that confer biotic and abiotic stress resistance in plants (Owji et al., 2017).

The present study aimed to predict the ERF1 and ERF2 TF genes in *Z. matrella* and *Z. pacifica* and to compare the probable effects of the variation in the gene structure among the Zoysia grasses. Phylogenetic analysis divided the eight ERF TFs to two groups, which was consistent with the previous studies where the basis of classification was the presence of introns and conserved motifs (Ma et al., 2015). The phylogenetic grouping was further validated by the protein structure analysis, wherein the ERF TF genes belonging to the same subgroups has similar structure and chemical properties. The ERF TFs are known to be involved in hormonal, abiotic stress and biotic stress signaling pathways (Shoji and Yuan, 2021). The TF binding motifs in the upstream regulatory region of each ERF gene had at least one motif related to these signaling pathways, with the exception of ZpERF1 having no significant motifs related to abiotic or biotic stress signaling. The effect of the single amino acid substitution at the 64<sup>th</sup> amino acid between the sub-group A (ZjERF1, ZmERF1a) and sub-group A conferred an additional active site. The experimental validation of the effect of Ala64 to Thr64 substitution can provide some clues in understanding the variation in the abiotic stress response among the three zoysiagrasses. The probable effect of the TF binding motifs in the upstream regulatory regions of the ERF transcription start site may also be studied further to identify their roles in enhancing stress signaling mechanisms for in *Zoysia*. The information provided in this study aims to add to the otherwise scarce resource of the zoysiagrass research pool.

## Acknowledgment

This research was funded by the New Breeding Technologies Development Program (No. PJ016547), Rural Development Administration, and the Basic Science Research Program (NRF-2020R1A2C1015119) through the National Research Foundation (NRF) of Korea funded by the Ministry of Science and ICT, Republic of Korea.

## **Authors Information**

Chetan Kaur, https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6226-7921 Young-Sun Kim, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5645-7021 Geung-Joo Lee, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3774-1860

# References

- Alexa, A. and Rahnenführer, J. 2009. Gene set enrichment analysis with topGO. Bioconductor Improv. 27:1-26.
- Cheng, M.C., Liao, P.M., Kuo, W.W. and Lin, T.P. 2013. The *Arabidopsis* ETHYLENE RESPONSE FACTOR1 regulates abiotic stress-responsive gene expression by binding to different cis-acting elements in response to different stress signals. Plant Physiol. 162:1566-1582.
- Cohen, I., Netzer, Y., Sthein, I., Gilichinsky, M. and Tel-Or, E. 2019. Plant growth regulators improve drought tolerance, reduce growth and evapotranspiration in deficit irrigated *Zoysia japonica* under field conditions. Plant Growth Regul. 88:9-17.
- Dergilev, A.I., Orlova, N.G., Dobrovolskaya, O.B. and Orlov, Y.L. 2022. Statistical estimates of multiple transcription factors binding in the model plant genomes based on ChIP-seq data. J. Integr. Bioinform. 19:20200036.
- Feng, K., Hou, X.L., Xing, G.M., Liu, J.X., Duan, A.Q., et al. 2020. Advances in AP2/ERF super-family transcription factors in plant. Critic. Rev. Biotechnol. 40:750-776.
- Feng, W., Li, J., Long, S. and Wei, S. 2019. A DREB1 gene from zoysiagrass enhances *Arabidopsis* tolerance to temperature stresses without growth inhibition. Plant Sci. 278:20-31.
- Gasteiger, E., Hoogland, C., Gattiker, A., Wilkins, M.R., Appel, R.D., et al. 2005. Protein identification and analysis tools on the ExPASy server. The proteomics protocols handbook. pp. 571-607. Springer, Berlin, Germany.
- Haydu, J.J., Hodges, A.W. and Hall, C.R. 2006. Economic impacts of the turfgrass and lawncare industry in the United States. EDIS. 2006:FE632.
- Huang, B., DaCosta, M. and Jiang, Y. 2014. Research advances in mechanisms of turfgrass tolerance to abiotic stresses: From physiology to molecular biology. Critic. Rev. Plant Sci. 33:141-189.
- Kaur, C., Kim, Y.S., Youn, J.H., Bae, E.J. and Lee, G.J. 2022. Comparative in-silico analysis of the cold responsive ICE1 and ICE2 genes in zoysiagrasses. Weed & Turf. Sci. 11:75-84. (In Korean)
- Kelley, L.A., Mezulis, S., Yates, C.M., Wass, M.N. and Sternberg, M.J.E. 2015. The Phyre2 web portal for protein modeling, prediction and analysis. Nat. Protoc. 10:845-858.
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Li, M., Knyaz, C. and Tamura, K. 2018. MEGA X: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. Mol. Biol. Evol. 35:1547-1549.
- Loch, D.S., Ebina, M., Choi, J.S. and Han, L. 2017. Ecological implications of zoysia species, distribution, and adaptation for management and use of zoysiagrasses. ITSRJ 13:11-25.
- Loch, D.S., Simon, B.K. and Poulter, R.E. 2005. Taxonomy, distribution and ecology of *Zoysia macrantha* Desv., an Australian native species with turf breeding potential. ITSRJ 10:593-599.
- Ma, Y., Zhang, F., Bade, R., Daxibater, A., Men, Z., et al. 2015. Genome-wide identification and phylogenetic analysis of the ERF gene family in melon. J. Plant Growth Regul. 34:66-77.
- Marcum, K.B., Anderson, S.J. and Engelke, M.C. 1998. Salt gland ion secretion: A salinity tolerance mechanism among five zoysiagrass species. Crop Sci. 38:806-810.

- Owji, H., Hajiebrahimi, A., Seradj, H. and Hemmati, S. 2017. Identification and functional prediction of stress responsive AP2/ERF transcription factors in *Brassica napus* by genome-wide analysis. Comput. Biol. Chem. 71:32-56.
- Page, A.J., Taylor, B., Delaney, A.J., Soares, J., Seemann, T., et al. 2016. SNP-sites: Rapid efficient extraction of SNPs from multi-FASTA alignments. Microb. Genom. 2:e000056.
- Patton, A. 2010. Selecting zoysiagrass cultivars: Turf quality and stress tolerance. Golf Course Mgmt. 78:90-95.
- Phukan, U.J., Jeena, G.S., Tripathi, V. and Shukla, R.K. 2017. Regulation of Apetala2/ethylene response factors in plants. Front. Plant Sci. 8:150.
- Salladini, E., Jørgensen, M.L.M., Theisen, F.F. and Skriver, K. 2020. Intrinsic disorder in plant transcription factor systems: Functional implications. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 21:9755.
- Shahmuradov, I.A., Umarov, R.K. and Solovyev, V.V. 2017. TSSPlant: A new tool for prediction of plant Pol II promoters. Nucleic Acids Res. 45:e65.
- Shoji, T. and Yuan, L. 2021. ERF gene clusters: Working together to regulate metabolism. Trends Plant Sci. 26:23-32.
- Stank, A., Kokh, D.B., Fuller, J.C. and Wade, R.C. 2016. Protein binding pocket dynamics. Acc. Chem. Res. 49:809-815.
- Sugiura, S. and Takahashi, S. 2021. Physiological integration for salinity stress alleviation in stoloniferous turfgrass, *Zoysia matrella* in heterogeneous saline environments. Landsc. Ecol. Eng. 17:21-28.
- Tanaka, H., Tokunaga, R., Muguerza, M., Kitazaki, Y., Hashiguchi, M., et al. 2016. Genetic structure and speciation of zoysiagrass ecotypes collected in Japan. Crop Sci. 56:818-826.
- Teng, K., Zhang, R., Tan, P.H., Yue, Y.S., Fan, X.F., et al. 2019. Molecular cloning, transcriptional activation, subcellular localization analysis and expression characterization of ZjERF1 from *Zoysia japonica*. Acta Prataculturae Sin. 28:56.
- Thompson, J.D., Higgins, D.G. and Gibson, T.J. 1994. CLUSTAL W: Improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Res. 22:4673-4680.
- Tian, F., Yang, D.C., Meng, Y.Q., Jin, J. and Gao, G. 2020. PlantRegMap: Charting functional regulatory maps in plants. Nucleic Acids Res. 48:D1104-D1113.
- Yamamoto, A., Hashiguchi, M., Akune, R., Masumoto, T., Muguerza, M., et al. 2016. The relationship between salt gland density and sodium accumulation/secretion in a wide selection from three Zoysia species. Aust. J. Bot. 64:277-284.
- Zarei, A., Körbes, A.P., Younessi, P., Montiel, G., Champion, A., et al. 2011. Two GCC boxes and AP2/ERFdomain transcription factor ORA59 in jasmonate/ethylene-mediated activation of the PDF1. 2 promoter in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Mol. Biol. 75:321-331.

Gene name Pattern name		Family	<i>P</i> -value	<i>q</i> -value	Matched sequence
ZjERF1	Zjn_sc00022.1.g03830.1.sm.mkhc	Dof	2.43E-07	0.0008	AACAAAGTAAAAAAGATAAAA
	Zjn_sc00045.1.g02180.1.sm.mk	MYB	7.61E-07	0.0025	TAGTCGGTTTTTATAGTTT
	Zjn_sc00007.1.g09020.1.sm.mk	MIKC_MADS	2.79E-06	0.0083	TTGCCGAAATGGGCAAGAT
	Zjn_sc00036.1.g04050.1.am.mk	C2H2	2.80E-06	0.009	ATGCCCTATTTGTCCTTTTCT
	Zjn_sc00008.1.g01280.1.am.mkhc	G2-like	4.27E-06	0.014	AAGAAAACA
	Zjn_sc00020.1.g00610.1.am.mk	Trihelix	7.40E-06	0.0183	ATTTCTCCGGCCAGA
	Zjn_sc00007.1.g03660.1.sm.mk	ERF	7.40E-06	0.0247	CCACCACTGTGCCCACCGTC
	Zjn_sc00003.1.g11150.1.sm.mkhc	Dof	8.21E-06	0.026	CAAAGTAAAAAAGATAAAA
ZmERF1a	Zmw_sc02636.1.g00060.1	Dof	2.43E-07	0.0008	AACAAAGTAAAAAAGATAAAA
	Zmw_sc04298.1.g00070.1	Dof	2.43E-07	0.0008	AACAAAGTAAAAAAGATAAAA
	Zmw_sc00834.1.g00100.1	MIKC_MADS	2.79E-06	0.0082	TTGCCGAAATGGGCAAGAT
	Zmw_sc00641.1.g00070.1	MIKC_MADS	2.79E-06	0.0083	TTGCCGAAATGGGCAAGAT
	Zmw sc01702.1.g00160.1	Trihelix	7.40E-06	0.0183	ATTTCTCCGGCCAGA
	Zmw sc01431.1.g00150.1	ERF	7.40E-06	0.0247	CCACCACTGTGCCCACCGTC
	Zmw sc00508.1.g00150.1	Dof	8.21E-06	0.0261	CAAAGTAAAAAAGATAAAA
	Zmw sc00722.1.g00320.1	WRKY	1.19E-05	0.0383	CAAAGTCAAAC
	Zmw_sc05484.1.g00050.1	GATA	1.42E-05	0.0454	CACCGTCGTTGGCATCATT
ZmERF1h	Zmw sc07405.1.g00010.1	Dof	2.53E-09	0.0022	тттсттттасттсттт
	Zmw sc01471.1.g00360.1	Dof	1.92E-08	0.0012	ATTITTTCTTTTTTACTTTGTTTTATAT
	Zmw sc03232.1.g00050.1	HD-ZIP	4.59E-07	0.0013	ТА АССА АТА АТТАСТСТАТТТ
	Zmw sc02637.1 g00050.1	C2H2	9.82E-07	0.0029	GAGAAAAGGACAAATAG
	7 mw  sc 026741  g 001301	C2H2	198F-06	0.0022	GAGAAAAGGACAAATAG
	Zmw sc01968 1 g00070 1	C2H2	246F-06	0.0031	GAGAAAAGGACAAATAGG
	$Z_{\rm mw}$ sc00175.1 g00070.1	C2H2	2.40E 00 2.46E-06	0.0032	GAGAAAAGGACAAATAGG
	Zmw_sc02375.1.g00100.1	C2H2	2,101 00 2,43E-06	0.001	
	$2m_{\rm w} \simeq 05144.1 \ \sigma 00030.1$	MIKC MADS	2.45E-06	0.0079	GTTTTCCATTCTTTTC
	$2m_{\rm w}$ sc01547 1 g00050.1	Triboliy	7.00E 00	0.0072	ттттасттст
	Zmw sc01893.1 g00100.1	C2H2	6.16F-06	0.0000	GAGAAAAGGACAAATAGGGC
	Zmw_sc00553.1.g00110.1	7F-HD	4 40F-06	0.01	ΤΑ ΔΟΟΑ ΔΤΑ ΔΤΤΔΟ
	Zmw_sc00067.1.g00000.1	ZF-HD	4.40E-06	0.0133	
	Zmw_sc02938.1 g00100.1	MIKC MADS	5.42E-06	0.0134	тсттесститтесс
	Zmw_sc01/92.1 g00030.1	C2-lilzo	5.86E-06	0.017	
	$Z_{\rm mw}$ sc03476.1 g00030.1	CDD	2.58E-05	0.0104	
	Zmw_sc0/208_1 g00070.1	Dof	2.001 00	0.0300	
	Zmu 2002626.1 g00060.1	Dof	2.05E-05	0.0319	
	ZIIIW_SC02030.1.g00000.1	NAC	2.03E-03	0.0319	
	Zmu col1204.1 c00160.1	MIKC MADS	1.11E 05 1.17E-05	0.0371	
	ZIIIW_SC01394.1.g00100.1	MIRC_MADS	1.1/E-05 4.26E.0E	0.0377	
	Zillw_sc01955.1.g00080.1	MID	4.20E-00	0.0002	
ZPERFI	Zpz_sc00110.1.g00170.1.am.mlr	D01 Tribalizz	9.23E-08	0.0003	
	Zpz_sc01005.1.g00130.1.am.mlr		1.40E-07	0.0004	
	Zpz_sc00142.1.g00000.1.sm.mlk	MINC_MADS	0.43E-07	0.0019	
	Zpz_sc01322.1.g00090.1.sm.mknc	C2HZ	0.13E-07	0.0019	AAGAAIACGACAAAIGA
	Zpz_sc00459.1.g00330.1.am.mk	C2H2	7.00E-07	0.0023	
	Zpz_sc01822.1.g00030.1.am.mk	C2H2	1.99E-06	0.004	
	Zpz_scuuu60.1.guu/90.1.sm.mkhc	DOI	4.06E-06	0.013	CAAAGIAAAAAAGAAAAAA
	Zpz_sc02813.1.g00030.1.sm.mk	EKF	7.40E-06	0.0248	CUAUCACIGIGCUCACCGIC
	Zpz_sc02621.1.g00020.1.am.mk	Irihelix	/.40E-06	0.0249	ALTICICCGGCCAGA
	Zpz_sc01918.1.g00050.1.am.mk	EKF	1.06E-05	0.0354	CACCACIGIGCCCACCGICG
	Zpz_sc014/9.1.g00080.1.am.mk	MIKC_MADS	1.28E-05	0.0417	AGIAAAAAGAAAA
	Zpz_sc02943.1.g00100.1.am.mk	MIKC_MADS	1.28E-05	0.0418	AGTAAAAAAGAAAA

Table S1. List of transcription factor binding sites in the upstream regulatory region of the Zoysia ERF1 gene.

ERF: Ethylene responding factor; Zj: Z. japonica; Zm: Z. matrella; Zp: Z. pacifica.

Table S2. List of transcri	ption factor binding sites in the	e upstream regulatory region	of the Zovsia ERF2 gene. (continued)

	1	0 1	0	, 0	
Gene nam	e Pattern name	Family	<i>P</i> -value	q-value	Matched sequence
ZjERF2	Zjn_sc00002.1.g03010.1.es.mkhc	G2-like	9.42E-07	0.00147	TATAGAATATTTTT
	Zjn_sc00159.1.g00110.1.sm.mk	WRKY	7.49E-07	0.00202	ACAGTTGACTTTTA
	Zjn_sc00007.1.g09020.1.sm.mk	MIKC_MADS	1.53E-06	0.0025	TTACTAAATATAGAAATAG
	Zjn_sc00024.1.g02600.1.sm.mkhc	WRKY	1.70E-06	0.00263	AGTTGACTTTTAA
	Zjn_sc00075.1.g00940.1.am.mkhc	WRKY	9.09E-07	0.00269	ACAGTTGACTTTT
	Zjn_sc00009.1.g04440.1.sm.mk	MIKC_MADS	1.34E-06	0.0039	AAAAAAAAAGAAAA
	Zjn_sc00068.1.g02930.1.sm.mk	MIKC_MADS	1.95E-06	0.00452	TACTAAATATAGAAA
	Zjn_sc00133.1.g00490.1.sm.mkhc	WRKY	1.44E-06	0.00459	ACAGTTGACTTTT
	Zjn_sc00008.1.g04060.1.sm.mkhc	WRKY	3.72E-06	0.00552	AAGTTTGACTTTTAC
	Zjn_sc00022.1.g04290.1.sm.mkhc	G2-like	3.70E-06	0.00582	AATAGAATATTATT
	Zin_sc00022.1.g03830.1.sm.mkhc	Dof	2.87E-06	0.00663	CATCAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
	Zin sc00003.1.g14360.1.sm.mkhc	MIKC MADS	9.00E-06	0.00888	GCTCAGTTTTTTTTTTTCCTCC
	Zin_sc00043.1.g05100.1.am.mk	WRKY	3.25E-06	0.00917	AGTTGACTTTTAATAGTAT
	Zin sc00012.1.g01260.1.am.mk	WRKY	3.61E-06	0.0101	ATATTAACACAGTTGACTTTT
	Zin sc00036.1.g04050.1.am.mk	C2H2	4.16E-06	0.0115	TATTTGTTGTTGTCTTTGTCC
	Zin_sc00028.1.g04380.1.sm.mk	G2-like	4.20E-06	0.0116	AATAGAATATT
	Zin_sc00011.1.g02540.1.sm.mk	WRKY	5.30E-06	0.0146	САСТТСАСТТТТАА
	$Z_{in} \simeq 000031 g111501 sm mkhc$	Dof	8 59E-06	0.0157	ATATAAAAAAAAGTATACT
	Zin_sc00075.1 g00920.1 am mk	WRKY	5.68E-06	0.0166	ACAGTTGACTTT
	$Z_{in} \simeq 0001111 g041601 am mkhc$	MVB	9.00E 00	0.0171	TAGA A ATATGATA A A A ATATG
	Zin_sc00025.1 g03620.1 sm mk	MVB	9.03E-06	0.0173	ΤΑGΑΑΑΤΑΤGΑΤΑΑΑΑΑΤΑΤG
	$Z_{in} \simeq 00023 \cdot 1.00020 \cdot 1.0000000000000000000000000000000000$	HD-7IP	1.06E-05	0.0175	
	$Z_{\rm in} \simeq 000022.1.g02500.1.sm.mkm$	Triboliv	1.00E 05	0.02	
	$Z_{\rm JII}_{\rm SC00003.1.g14730.1.aIII.IIIK}$	Dof	1.00E-05	0.0208	
	$Z_{\rm JII}_{\rm SC00034, 1, g03770, 1, all 1, IIIK}$	COL	1.11E-05	0.0272	
	Zjii_scooo3.1.g01320.1.siii.iiikiic		1.11E-05	0.0275	
	ZJII_SC00034.1.g00090.1.SIII.IIIK	GAIA	1.15E-05	0.028	
	ZJII_SCOUUT1.1.g03120.1.SIII.IIIKIIC	GAIA	1.11E-05	0.0335	
7	ZJII_SC00067.1.g02160.1.SIII.IIIKIIC	BBR-BPC	2.10E-05	0.00140	
ZMERF2a	Zmw_sc0/168.1.g00040.1	G2-like	9.42E-07	0.00148	
	Zmw_sc001/9.1.g00320.1	WRKY	1.70E-06	0.00261	AGITGACITITAA
	Zmw_sc00823.1.g00100.1	WRKY	1.01E-06	0.00269	GCAGITGACITTIA
	Zmw_sc02214.1.g00030.1	WRKY	1.0/E-06	0.00314	GCAGITGACITTT
	Zmw_sc03476.1.g00010.1	CPP	2.59E-06	0.00494	TATTICATATTIAAA
	Zmw_sc03239.1.g00050.1	WRKY	2.11E-06	0.00541	GCAGTTGACTTTT
	Zmw_sc04648.1.g00070.1	G2-like	3.70E-06	0.00586	AATAGAATATTATT
	Zmw_sc01245.1.g00180.1	WRKY	3.72E-06	0.00604	AAGTTTGACTTTTAC
	Zmw_sc03298.1.g00030.1	WRKY	3.25E-06	0.00921	AGTTGACTTTTTAATAGTAT
	Zmw_sc06259.1.g00020.1	WRKY	3.56E-06	0.00991	ATATTAACGCAGTTGACTTTT
	Zmw_sc02449.1.g00110.1	G2-like	4.20E-06	0.0114	AATAGAATATT
	Zmw_sc05892.1.g00030.1	G2-like	4.20E-06	0.0115	AATAGAATATT
	Zmw_sc04801.1.g00080.1	WRKY	5.30E-06	0.0147	CAGTTGACTTTTAA
	Zmw_sc00039.1.g00390.1	WRKY	5.25E-06	0.0154	GCAGTTGACTTT
	Zmw_sc00508.1.g00150.1	Dof	8.59E-06	0.0156	ATATAAAAAAAAGTATACT
	Zmw_sc01004.1.g00150.1	Dof	1.17E-05	0.0179	CAAAAGTAA
	Zmw_sc04272.1.g00050.1	HD-ZIP	9.78E-06	0.0196	ACATTAAATAT
	Zmw_sc00963.1.g00120.1	HD-ZIP	1.06E-05	0.02	AAAATAATTGT
	Zmw_sc01547.1.g00050.1	Trihelix	1.06E-05	0.0208	ATTTTTACCTCAAA
	Zmw_sc02388.1.g00110.1	MYB	2.09E-05	0.0224	AGAAAATAAGATAAAAAGTTT
	Zmw_sc02636.1.g00060.1	Dof	1.10E-05	0.0233	CAATATAAAAAAAAGTATACT
	Zmw_sc04298.1.g00070.1	Dof	1.10E-05	0.0236	CAATATAAAAAAAAGTATACT
	Zmw_sc00431.1.g00450.1	Dof	1.11E-05	0.0269	CAAAAGTAAT
	Zmw_sc01579.1.g00050.1	Dof	1.11E-05	0.0271	CAAAAGTAAT
	Zmw_sc00326.1.g00320.1	BBR-BPC	2.10E-05	0.0278	GAATATTTCTCTCTCTTACAA
	Zmw_sc05134.1.g00060.1	GATA	1.15E-05	0.0283	GATGTTCTTGACTGTCAAAATCATTAG
	Zmw_sc01492.1.g00150.1	GATA	1.15E-05	0.0283	GATGTTCTTGACTGTCAAAATCATTAG
	Zmw sc00711.1.g00180.1	GATA	1.11E-05	0.0334	GATATAGATCTAT
	Zmw_sc03046.1.g00040.1	WRKY	1.45E-05	0.0492	CGGTCAAC

Gene name	e Pattern name	Family	<i>p</i> -value	<i>q</i> -value	Matched sequence
ZmERF2b	Zmw_sc00823.1.g00100.1	WRKY	7.49E-07	0.00197	ACAGTTGACTTTTA
	Zmw_sc00179.1.g00320.1	WRKY	1.70E-06	0.00225	AGTTGACTTTTAA
	Zmw_sc02214.1.g00030.1	WRKY	9.09E-07	0.00263	ACAGTTGACTTTT
	Zmw_sc07168.1.g00040.1	G2-like	1.26E-06	0.00288	AATAGAATATTATT
	Zmw_sc03239.1.g00050.1	WRKY	1.44E-06	0.00418	ACAGTTGACTTTT
	Zmw sc01245.1.g00180.1	WRKY	3.91E-06	0.00789	ACAGTTGACTTTTAA
	Zmw sc03298.1.g00030.1	WRKY	3.25E-06	0.00906	AGTTGACTTTTAATAGTAT
	Zmw sc04648.1.g00070.1	G2-like	3.70E-06	0.0091	ΑΑΤΑGΑΑΤΑΤΤΑΤΤ
	Zmw sc06259.1.g00020.1	WRKY	3.61E-06	0.00984	ATATTAACACAGTTGACTTTT
	Zmw sc05892.1.g00030.1	G2-like	4.20E-06	0.0113	AATAGAATATT
	Zmw sc02449.1.g00110.1	G2-like	4.20E-06	0.0113	AATAGAATATT
	7 mw  sc 04801.1  g 00080.1	WRKY	5 30E-06	0.0110	CAGTTGACTTTTAA
	$Z_{\rm mw}$ sc00508 1 g00150 1	Dof	8 59E-06	0.011	ATATAAAAAAAAGTATACT
	7 mw  sc 03299 1  g 00040 1	NAC	5.95E-06	0.0162	ТАССТАТТССАТТТАСАТАТСТ
	Zmw_sc000291.g00010.1	WRKV	5.68E-06	0.0102	ACAGTTGACTTT
	$2 m_{\rm W} \simeq 00860.1 \ a 00250.1$	Triboliv	6.46E-06	0.0100	ΤΔΟΔΤΟΤΟΤΟΤΤΙ
	Zinw_sc00200.1.g00230.1	MVR	1 12E-05	0.0100	
	Zmu col1004.1 g00150.1	Dof	1.13E 05	0.0174	
	ZIIIW_SC01004.1.g00130.1		1.17E-03	0.01/5	
	ZIIIW_SC00905.1.g00120.1		1.00E-03	0.019	
	ZIIIW_SC04272.1.g00050.1	TU-ZIP	9.76E-00	0.0195	
	ZIIIW_SC01547.1.g00050.1		1.00E-05	0.02	
	Zmw_sc00463.1.g00070.1	G2-like	2.02E-05	0.0201	AAIAGAAIAIIAIII
	Zmw_scu1160.1.gu0110.1	MYB_related	8.95E-06	0.0216	AGAIAIIIAA
	Zmw_scu1/54.1.gu0080.1	MYB_related	1.09E-05	0.0225	AGAIAIIIAAA
	Zmw_sc00868.1.g00150.1	SBP	7.39E-06	0.023	TCCGTACAGCT
	Zmw_sc015/9.1.g00050.1	Dof	1.11E-05	0.0261	CAAAAGIAAI
	Zmw_sc00431.1.g00450.1	Dof	1.11E-05	0.0262	CAAAAAGIAAI
	Zmw_sc00/11.1.g00180.1	GATA	1.11E-05	0.0329	GATATAGATCTAT
	Zmw_sc03149.1.g00140.1	CPP	5.38E-05	0.0358	TATTTAAATT
	Zmw_sc00911.1.g00130.1	HD-ZIP	3.99E-05	0.0389	AAAATAATIGT
	Zmw_sc01382.1.g00110.1	YABBY	3.50E-05	0.0396	TAIGATAA
	Zmw_sc01000.1.g00270.1	YABBY	3.50E-05	0.0396	TATGATAA
	Zmw_sc00909.1.g00270.1	MYB_related	1.75E-05	0.042	CAGATATTT
	Zmw_sc03046.1.g00040.1	WRKY	1.45E-05	0.0492	CGGTCAAC
ZpERF2	Zpz_sc00747.1.g00070.1.sm.mk	WRKY	7.49E-07	0.00198	ACAGTTGACTTTTA
	Zpz_sc00228.1.g00240.1.sm.mk	WRKY	1.70E-06	0.00225	AGTTGACTTTTAA
	Zpz_sc02744.1.g00050.1.sm.mkhc	WRKY	9.09E-07	0.00265	ACAGTTGACTTTT
	Zpz_sc00885.1.g00020.1.es.mkhc	G2-like	1.26E-06	0.00291	AATAGAATATTATT
	Zpz_sc01607.1.g00140.1.sm.mkhc	WRKY	1.44E-06	0.00418	ACAGTTGACTTTT
	Zpz_sc01065.1.g00130.1.am.mk	Trihelix	4.44E-06	0.00594	ATAGTAAATATATATA
	Zpz_sc00990.1.g00040.1.sm.mkhc	WRKY	3.91E-06	0.00788	ACAGTTGACTTTTAA
	Zpz_sc00459.1.g00330.1.am.mk	C2H2	2.93E-06	0.00886	TTGTTGTCTTTATCTG
	Zpz_sc00389.1.g00020.1.am.mk	WRKY	3.25E-06	0.00899	AGTTGACTTTTAATAGTAT
	Zpz_sc00890.1.g00190.1.sm.mk	G2-like	4.20E-06	0.0112	AATAGAATATT
	Zpz_sc00657.1.g00170.1.am.mk	MYB	5.64E-06	0.0135	TTTATAGTTTGTTCAGTTT
	Zpz_sc00289.1.g00210.1.am.mk	WRKY	5.30E-06	0.0145	CAGTTGACTTTTAA
	Zpz_sc00663.1.g00060.1.sm.mkhc	NAC	5.95E-06	0.0162	TACGTATTCGATTTAGATATCT
	Zpz_sc06143.1.g00010.1.am.mk	WRKY	5.68E-06	0.0166	ACAGTTGACTTT
	Zpz_sc02744.1.g00030.1.am.mk	WRKY	5.68E-06	0.0166	ACAGTTGACTTT
	Zpz_sc02347.1.g00040.1.am.mk	MYB	1.13E-05	0.0174	TAGAAATATGATAAAAATATT
	Zpz_sc00452.1.g00080.1.am.mk	HD-ZIP	9.78E-06	0.0189	ACATTAAATAT
	Zpz_sc00235.1.g00210.1.sm.mkhc	HD-ZIP	1.06E-05	0.019	AAAATAATTGT

Table S2. List of transcription factor binding sites in the upstream regulatory region of the Zoysia ERF2 gene.

*ERF*: Ethylene responding factor; *Zj*: *Z. japonica*; Zm: *Z. matrella*; Zp: *Z. pacifica*.

Dof

GATA

YABBY

WRKY

MYB\_related

CPP

1.11E-05

1.11E-05

5.38E-05

3.50E-05

1.75E-05

1.45E-05

0.0261

0.033

0.0361

0.0396

0.0417

0.0492

CAAAAGTAAT

TATTTAAATT

TATGATAA

CAGATATTT

CGGTCAAC

GATATAGATCTAT

Zpz\_sc00158.1.g00400.1.sm.mk

Zpz\_sc04854.1.g00020.1.sm.mkhc

Zpz\_sc00187.1.g00470.1.am.mkhc

Zpz\_sc01162.1.g00080.1.sm.mkhc

Zpz\_sc00443.1.g00380.1.sm.mk

Zpz\_sc00403.1.g00170.1.sm.mk